#### NOTICE OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW DETERMINATION

MDR Tracking Number: M2-03-1731-01

IRO Certificate Number: 5259

September 18, 2003

An independent review of the above-referenced case has been completed by a physician board certified in family practice. The appropriateness of setting and medical necessity of proposed or rendered services is determined by the application of medical screening criteria published by Texas Medical Foundation, or by the application of medical screening criteria and protocols formally established by practicing physicians. All available clinical information, the medical necessity guidelines and the special circumstances of said case was considered in making the determination.

The independent review determination and reasons for the determination, including the clinical basis for the determination, is as follows:

## See Attached Physician Determination

	hereby	certifies	that the	reviewing	physician	ı is on	Texas	Work	kers'
Comp	ensatio	n Comm	ission Ap	proved Do	ctor List (	ADL).	Addition	ally,	said
physic	cian has	certified	that no k	nown confli	cts of inter-	est exist	betweer	n him	and
any o	f the tre	ating phy	sicians o	r providers	or any of t	he physi	cians or	provi	ders
who r	eviewed	I the case	for deter	mination prid	or to referra	al to			

Sincerely,

# **CLINICAL HISTORY**

This patient sustained a work related injury on \_\_\_\_. Apparently, she was treated with injections and oral medications. A muscle stimulator device was started on January, 2003.

### REQUESTED SERVICE(S)

Purchase of Interferential Muscle Stimulator.

#### DECISION

Uphold prior denial.

### RATIONALE/BASIS FOR DECISION

All the records submitted were thoroughly reviewed. Insufficient medical records were available to substantiate medical necessity to purchase this device. Accepted research and community standard of care dictate this device is to be used as adjunctive therapy in the acute phase of treatment.

If the injury occurred in \_\_\_\_, then the device is inappropriate in January 2003 since the injury is now chronic. For these reasons, the denial is upheld.

#### YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING

Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within 10 (ten) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (20 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5©).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 148.3).

This decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed or the date of fax (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.4(h) or 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing and a **copy of this decision** must be sent to:

Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk Texas Workers' Compensation Commission P.O. Box 17787 Austin, Texas 78744

Or fax the request to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this decision must be attached to the request.

The party appealing the decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.

In accordance with Commission Rule 102.4(h), I hereby verify that a copy of this Independent Review Organization (IRO) Decision was sent to the carrier, the requestor and claimant via facsimile or U.S. Postal Service from the office of the IRO on this 19th day of September, 2003.